	Case 2:21-cv-00927-TLN-DB Documen	t 10 Filed 10/13/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	MILO WALLACE,	No. 2:21-cv-00927 TLN DB P
11	Petitioner,	
12	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
13	UNKNOWN,	RECOMMENDATIONS
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus.	
17	On June 1, 2021, the court dismissed petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus with leave	
18	to file an amended petition within thirty days. (ECF No. 3.) On August 26, 2021, the court	
19	ordered the petitioner to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for failure to file an	
20	amended petition. (ECF No. 9.) Petitioner was warned that failure to comply with court orders	
21	would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. (<u>Id.</u> at 3.)	
22	More than thirty days have passed and petitioner has not filed an amended petition,	
23	responded to the order to show cause, requested an extension of time, or otherwise responded to	
24	the court's orders. Accordingly, it will be recommended that this action be dismissed for failure	
25	to comply with court orders and failure to prosecute.	
26	////	
27	////	
28	////	
		1

Case 2:21-cv-00927-TLN-DB Document 10 Filed 10/13/21 Page 2 of 2

For the reasons state above, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DB/DB Prisoner Inbox/Civil Rights/R/wall0927.fr.dism

Dated: October 13, 2021

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE